

8. Resistance of Yellow Velvetleaf (*Limnocharis flava* (L.) Buch.) Exposed to Lead.pdf *by*

Submission date: 02-Aug-2019 10:08AM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 1156931013

File name: 8. Resistance of Yellow Velvetleaf (*Limnocharis flava* (L.) Buch.) Exposed to Lead.pdf (105.41K)

Word count: 4090

Character count: 19076

Resistance of Yellow Velvetleaf (*Limnocharis flava* (L.) Buch.) Exposed to Lead

F. Rachmadiarti¹, L. A. Soehono², W.H. Utomo^{3*}, B. Yanuwiyadi², H. Fallowfield⁴

¹Faculty of Mathematics and Science, State University of Surabaya, Surabaya, Indonesia

²Faculty of Mathematic and Science, University of Brawijaya, Malang, Indonesia

³International Research Centre for Management of Degraded and Mine Land, University of Brawijaya, Malang, Indonesia

⁴School of Environment, Faculty of Health and Science, Flinders University, Adelaide, South Australia

ABSTRACT

The potential use of yellow velvetleaf (*Limnocharis flava* (L.) Buch.) for remediation of lead (Pb) polluted water was studied in Green house experiment. The study was also investigated the distribution of lead in plant tissue. Plants of equal size was grown hydroponically and exposed to 0, 1, 5, 10 mgL⁻¹ of Pb concentration for 10 days, 20 days, and 30 days. As a comparison, water spinach (*Ipomoea aquatica*) was grown in the same growth media. The results show that, although not as good as water spinach, yellow velvet leaf could grow well in Pb polluted water. The bioaccumulation factor of both plants were >1 with the translocation factor < 1 indicated that both plants are tolerant to Pb metals. The highest accumulation of Pb found in root tissue, and then followed by stem and leave tissue. The concentration of Pb in leaves tissues found in yellow velvetleaf and water spinach exposed to 10 mg L⁻¹ Pb were 1.30 and 1.90 mg kg⁻¹ which is higher than the criteria given by FAO and WHO (5 mg kg⁻¹). Therefore, this fact should be considered if there is any attempt to use water spinach grown in Pb polluted growth media for human consumption or animal feeds.

Keywords: lead, Phytoremediation, water pollution, waste water, bioaccumulation, translocation factor

INTRODUCTION

Surabaya River is one of the main sources for providing water requirement of Surabaya communities, the second biggest city in Indonesia. In addition for domestic used, Surabaya River is also a source of irrigation water for rice and vegetable crops. Lately, with increasing industrial and domestic waste discharged to this river. Rachmadiarti *et al.* [3] observed that its water had contaminated by some heavy metals, including lead (Pb) and Cadmium (Cd) with concentration of 0.01 mg L⁻¹ and 0.01 mg L⁻¹ respectively. This is far higher compared to the safe water quality standard given by WHO [2]. The contribution of domestic was to high Mercury (Hg), Chromium (Cr) and Pb in Surabaya river has also been notified by Arisandi [3][4]. In addition, Rachmadiarti *et al.* [1] found that there were abundance of Yellow Velvetleaf (*Limnocharis flava*) grow at Gayungan and Sepanjang wetland area which received irrigation water from Surabaya River. This yellow velvetleaf is commonly used for vegetable of the surrounding community. In fact this yellow velvetleaf has concentrations of > 0.05 mg Pb kg⁻¹, this is exceeded the food quality standard given by WHO [2].

Park *et al.* [5] noted that the existence of arsenic and heavy metals in water caused negative impacts on aquatic ecosystems and biota growing in such habitats. It has been widely known that some heavy metals are toxic substances for animal, and hence for human life through food chain [2]. Duruibe [6] noted that Pb cause teratogenic effect. Pb toxicity also inhibits the hemoglobin synthesis; causes kidney malfunction; influence reproductive systems and cardiovascular system; and causes acute and chronic damage to the central nervous system and peripheral nervous system [7]. Reduce of heavy metals concentration in Surabaya River, therefore, is a compulsory. A lot of methodologies have been developed to clean the pollutant from water, but, it seems that the cheapest and easiest method to do this is by the use of plant. This method, which is known as "Phytoremediation" has been used widely, to remediate either contaminated soils or polluted water [8].

The success of phytoremediation depends on growth rate and the ability of the plant to uptake the metals from the growth medium [8]. Plants must produce sufficient biomass and able to accumulate high concentration of heavy metals in their tissue. Gothberg *et al.* [9] reported that water spinach could accumulate Cd in high concentration without any negative effect on their growth. Gothberg *et al.* [10] also demonstrated the ability of *Ipomoea aquatica* Forsk to decrease Pb concentration in polluted water. Abhilash *et al.* [11] used yellow velvetleaf for phytofiltration of Cd polluted in water.

The experiment reported here was aimed to explore the potential of yellow velvetleaf for remediation of Pb polluted water. To do this, first the experiment was done to study the resistance of yellow velvetleaf in lead polluted water. The growth of yellow velvetleaf was compared to that of water spinach, which has been known as tolerance to high metal concentration. Then, since water spinach and yellow velvetleaf are also used for

human consumption and fish culture, the study also directed to partitioning of lead in plant organs. This was done to assess any potential hazard if these plants are used for human consumption, or animal and fish culture.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

To study the resistance of yellow velvetleaf to lead, the plant was grown in medium containing Pb solution of: The medium was added with Pb solution (depend on experimental treatments), i.e: (1) 0 Pb as control; (2) 1.0 mgL⁻¹ of Pb(NO₃)₂ solution; (3) 5.0 mgL⁻¹ of Pb(NO₃)₂ solution; and (4) 0.0 mgL⁻¹ of Pb(NO₃)₂ solution. As a comparison the same treatment was done for water spinach. These treatments were arranged in a randomized block design with 3 replications.

Yellow velvetleaf and water spinach were collected from wetlands at Gayung Sari (Surabaya, East Java, Indonesia). Initially, the plants were grown in a plastic chamber containing 20 L of Hoagland's media in a glass house over 5 days. This was done to reduce previous environmental contamination and adapt the plants to glasshouse conditions. Subsequently, plants of yellow velvetleaf were chosen with 90 gram biomass and stems of water spinach were cut in which every cutting stem has 2 nodes (10 cm length for water spinach). Each plant was grown for 10 days in a plastic chamber containing 20 L distillation water containing Hoagland's solution [12].

These acclimated plants were used for further study. Yellow velvetleaf with peduncles length of 20-25 cm and roots length of 8-10 cm; and water spinach with roots length of 3-4 cm and stem length of 20-25 cm were selected. The plants were cleaned with distilled water and then it was put in glass aquarium of 40 cm length, 30 cm width, and 35 cm depth which contain of 5 L distilled water and Hoagland's solution. The pH of the medium varied from 5.4 – 6.7 at the beginning of the experiment, and 6.5 – 7.0 at the end of the experiment. The plants were illuminated sunlight 12.12 hours light dark cycle at a photon flux density at 598 candles. The plant samples (destructive sampling) from each glass aquarium were harvested at 10, 20 and 30 days after planting after which biomass yield and metal content were measured.

Harvested plants were divided into three parts: roots, stem/peduncles and leaves. Each part was oven dried for 2 h at 80°C for 48 hours and weighed to determine its dry weight. The plant tissues were ground with a mill. Powdered samples weighing 0.5 g were digested with 5 ml of HNO₃ and diluted to 50 ml with de-ionized double distilled water. Pb analysis was done with the method of extraction described by Göthberg *et al.*, [11]. Media samples (50 ml) and digested plant samples were analyzed for Pb by Inductively coupled plasma (Teledyne Leeman Labs). The total accumulation and partitioning of the metals by the plants were calculated. Plant biomass yield was measured on dry weight basis.

Relative growth rate (RGR), expressed as grams per kilogram per day, and was calculated as:

$$RGR = (\text{final dry} - \text{initial dry weight}) / \text{initial weight} \times \text{days} \quad (1)$$

The bio-concentration factor (BCF) for lead of the root system and the aerial parts (stem/peduncles leaves) was calculated according to the formula of Wang *et al.* [12], i.e.:

$$BCF^a = C_{\text{roots}} / C_{\text{medium}} \quad (2)$$

$$BCF^b = C_{\text{aerial}} / C_{\text{medium}} \quad (3)$$

where, C_{roots} = concentration of lead in the roots (mg Pb kg⁻¹ dry weight)
 C_{aerial} = sum of the concentration of lead in stems or peduncles (mg Pb kg⁻¹ dry weight) and
 C_{medium} = concentration of lead in growth medium (mg Pb L⁻¹)

The translocation factor (TF) was calculated to evaluate the translocation of Pb from the water spinach and yellow velvetleaf roots to aerial parts (stem/peduncles and leaves) the above two equation (1) and (2), the TF as follows:

$$TF = BCF^b / BCF^a \quad (4)$$

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SPSS 16 software package was conducted to analyze the data. If there was a significant difference, the Tukey test ($p=0.05$) was performed.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The growth of yellow velvetleaf and water spinach at various medium conditions is presented in Table 1. At 10 days observation there was a negative growth of yellow velvet leaf. It seems that this phenomenon was

only adaptation period for yellow velvetleaf to grow in new environment, not because of the existence of Pb in the medium. As shown in Table 1, negative growth of yellow velvetleaf occurred also for that grown in the control treatment. Furthermore, there was a positive growth at 20 and 30 days observations. The biomass of yellow velvetleaf grown in control treatment was not significantly different compared to that of grown in Pb contaminated solution.

Different phenomenon was observed on water spinach. It seems that water spinach was very easy adapted to the new environment so that water spinach did not require adaptation periods. At 10 days observation, the existence of Pb in the solution did not influence the growth of water spinach. However, at 20 and 30 days Pb significantly reduced water spinach growth. The growth of water spinach at various Pb concentrations was not significantly different.

Table 1 the growth of water spinach and yellow velvetleaf at different Pb concentration

Plants	Pb Concentration (mg L ⁻¹)	Initially Biomass (gram)	Fresh biomass at days (g/plant)		
			10 days	20 days	30 days
Yellow velvetleaf	0	100	93.10 a	115.10 a	128.10 a
	1	100	93.57 a	108.57 a	120.24 a
	5	100	94.13 a	108.13 a	121.13 a
	10	100	92.15 a	106.15 a	122.48 a
Water spinach	0	100	157.50 b	229.67 c	231.00 c
	1	100	150.00 b	169.00 b	177.00 b
	5	100	147.33 b	167.50 b	172.80 b
	10	100	140.67 b	159.80 b	165.33 b

*) means followed by the same letters, at the same column are not significantly different (p=0.05)

The result presented in Table 2 showed that yellow velvetleaf and water spinach have a different growth pattern. Until 10 days after planting yellow velvetleaf had a negative growth rate, after which the relative growth rate increased with plant ages. Water spinach, on the other hand, had no negative growth rate, and RGR increased up to 20 days old, then RGR decreased from 20 – 30 days after planting.

Furthermore, data in Table 2 showed that at early growth periods (0 – 20 days after planting) RGR of yellow velvetleaf was lower compared to that water spinach. However, at the periods of 20 – 30 days after planting, RGR of yellow velvetleaf was higher than water spinach. At 30 days after planting the medium had been full covered by water spinach, but this did not occur with yellow velvetleaf (Figure 1). Thus there was a competition for water spinach.

Table 2 Means Relative growth rate (RGR) of yellow velvetleaf and water spinach grown in the Pb contaminated solution.

Plants	Pb Concentration (mg L ⁻¹)	RGR of plants (g/ days)		
		10 days	20 days	30 days
Yellow velvetleaf	0	-0.0069 a	0.0151 b	0.028 ab
	1	-0.0060 a	0.0086 b	0.021 ab
	5	-0.0059 a	0.008 b	0.021 ab
	10	-0.0079 a	0.006 b	0.022 ab
Water spinach	0	0.0575 b	0.1297 b	0.130 ab
	1	0.0500 b	0.069 b	0.077 ab
	5	0.0470 b	0.068 b	0.073 ab
	10	0.0407 b	0.058 b	0.065 ab

*) means followed by the same letters, at the same column are not significantly different (p=0.05)

From the result presented in Table 1 and 2 it can be concluded that yellow velvetleaf could grow well in lead polluted solution. However, compared to water spinach the growth rate of yellow velvetleaf was much slower. Water spinach has been known as aquatic plants [8], and therefore it was not surprise that this plant grew better compared to yellow velvetleaf.

Although the growth of yellow velvetleaf was not good as water spinach, the capability of yellow velvetleaf to remove Pb from solution was comparable with that of water spinach (Table 3). At 10 and 20 days after transplanting, the removal of Pb by yellow velvetleaf was lower compared to that of water spinach. However, at 30 days after planting, the removal of Pb by yellow velvetleaf did not significantly different with the removal by water spinach. Looking the data presented in Table 1 and Table 2 the lower Pb removal by yellow velvetleaf could be suggested due to lower growth rate of yellow velvetleaf (compared to water spinach),

Table 3 Percentage removal Pb by Yellow velvetleaf and water spinach

Plants	Pb concentration (mg L ⁻¹)	Percentage removal Pb by plants		
		10 days	20 days	30 days
Yellow velvetleaf	1	64.00 a	71.00 a	94.00
	5	65.00 a	75.00 a	95.00
	10	63.00 a	74.00 a	98.00
Water spinach	1	76.00 b	92.00 b	97.00
	5	77.00 b	91.00 b	98.00
	10	82.00 b	98.00 b	98.00 NS

*) means followed by the same letters, at the same column are not significantly different ($p=0.05$)

Although there was a negative growth of yellow velvetleaf (Table 1 and 2), the existence of plants was able to decrease Pb concentration in the growth medium. This data indicated that the plant was still able to remove Pb from the solution. In the hydroponic system, passive absorption which occurs together with evapotranspiration would be more dominant. The measurement done at 34 days after transplanting, the water lost due to evapotranspiration from yellow velvetleaf varied from 4.2 (10 mg L⁻¹ Pb treatment) to 4.7 cm (5 mg L⁻¹ Pb treatment). The evaporation at the same period was only 3.6 cm, and the evapotranspiration from water spinach varied from 4.9 (10 mg L⁻¹ Pb treatment) to 5.1 cm (0 mg L⁻¹ Pb treatment).

The experimental result presented in Table 4 show that the highest Pb concentration of both yellow velvetleaf and water spinach was found in root tissues. The mechanism behind the higher Pb concentration in the roots may include binding of the positively charged toxic metals ion to negative charges in the cell walls [13]. Gothberg [11] suggested that in most plants, a larger proportion of the metal was retained in the roots and thereby prevented from interfering with sensitive metabolic reaction in the shoots. This is probably an internal mechanism to avoid toxic metal concentration in the shoot.

Table 4 Pb concentration in various plant tissues (measured for 5 mg L⁻¹ Pb and 5 mg L⁻¹ Pb treatments)

Plants	Days after transplanting	Pb concentration in plant tissues exposed to 5 mg L ⁻¹ Pb (mg kg ⁻¹)			Pb concentration in plant tissues exposed to 10 mg L ⁻¹ Pb (mg kg ⁻¹)		
		Roots	Stems	Leaves	roots	Stems	Leaves
Yellow velvetleaf	10	1.92 a A	0.237 a B	0.24 B	3.13 a A	0.098a B	0.182 B
	20	1.36 b A	0.923 b B	0.287 B	4.66 b A	0.07 b B	0.463 B
	30	0.25 c A	1.33 b B	0.297 B	0.29 c A	0.20 b B	0.40 B
Water spinach	10	2.26 a A	0.157 a B	0.197 B	2.66 a A	0.44 a B	0.77 B
	20	2.71 b A	0.41 b B	0.577 B	5.12 b A	0.39 b B	0.4108 B
	30	2.63 c A	0.05 b B	0.16 B	5.35 c A	0.07 b B	0.273 B

*) means followed by the same letters for the same time of measurements, at the same column (small letters) and rows (capital letters) are not significantly different ($p=0.05$)

Pb concentration in root tissues of water spinach was relatively constant with plant age. In root tissues of yellow velvetleaf, Pb concentration decreased from 10 to 20 days plant age, then again increased at 30 days after planting. Looking the data presented in Table 1, it seems that the decrease of Pb concentration from 10 to 20 days plant age was due to dilution effect. The results in Table 4 also show that except for water spinach at 30 days after planting, Pb concentration in root tissues did not significantly different with that in leaf tissues. At 30 days after planting, Pb concentration in leaf tissues of water spinach was higher than that in the stem tissues. The concentration of Pb in stem and leaf tissues of yellow velvetleaf did not significantly changes with plant age. For water spinach, on the other hand, Pb concentration in stem and leaf tissues increased as plant growing older.

To study the capability of plants to extract metals from the water and the aptitude of plants to transfer metals from roots to shoots the term bio-concentration factor (BCF), and the as the translocation factor (TF) introduced by Yoon *et al.* [13] was used. The results presented in Table 5 show that until 20 days plant age, TF of both yellow velvetleaf and water spinach are less than 1.0, indicating that the metal ion was largely retained in roots. This result supports the previous data which show that the highest Pb concentration was in the root tissue.

Following the criteria proposed by Yoon *et al.* [14] it can be suggested that both yellow velvetleaf and water spinach were accumulator plants. According to Yoon *et al.* [14] plant can be classified as the excluder and accumulator if the value of TF < 1 and BCF > 1. However, value of TF and BCF water spinach better than yellow velvetleaf. The proper disposal of the harvested plant parts is the final and most important step in any kind of plant-based remediation technology. The higher BCF and TF values of tested species enable them to accumulate large amount hazardous metals in the harvested parts and if not disposed properly the accumulated heavy metals may back to the system or can enter into the food chain. Bioaccumulation of the trace metals in water spinach and yellow velvetleaf tissues was not a concern as because the measured levels were lower than the permissible

levels set by FAO and WHO (0.5 mg kg^{-1}) for consumption, except of yellow velvetleaf which are exposed to $10 \text{ mg L}^{-1} \text{ Pb}$.

Table 5 TF each water spinach and yellow velvetleaf

Plants	Pb concentration (mgL^{-1})	Translocation Factor (TF)			Bio-concentration Factor (BCF)		
		10 days	20 days	30 days	10 days	20 days	30 days
Yellow velvetleaf	1	0.32 a A	0.19 a A	2.06 b B	3.42 a A	3.92 ab B	18.74 b C
	5	0.25 a A	0.23 a A	1.74 b B	4.48 a A	8.56 c B	21.79 b C
	10	0.90 a A	0.10 a A	2.08 b B	4.90 a A	9.54 c B	15.06 b C
Water spinach	1	0.39 a A	0.27 a A	0.32 a B	4.82 a A	2.35 a A	3.12 a A
	5	0.16 a A	0.36 a A	0.08 a B	3.09 a A	4.41 b	2.75 a A
	10	0.47 a A	0.56 a A	0.06 a B	3.76 a A	1.86 a	3.28 a A

*) means followed by the same letters, at the same column (small letters) and rows (capital letters) are not significantly different ($p=0.05$)

CONCLUSION

Yellow velvetleaf, like water spinach, was a potential plant to remediate water polluted by Pb. The bioaccumulation factor of both plants were >1 with the translocation factor <1 indicated that both plants are tolerant to Pb metals. The highest Pb concentration in both plant were in the roots, then followed leaves, and stem. The concentration of Pb in leaves tissues found in yellow velvetleaf and water spinach exposed to $10 \text{ mg L}^{-1} \text{ Pb}$ were 1.30 and 1.90 mg kg^{-1} which is higher than the criteria given by FAO and WHO (5 mg kg^{-1}). Therefore, this fact should be considered if there is any attempt to use water spinach grown in Pb polluted growth media for human consumption or animal feeds.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Thank to the Directorate General of Higher education, Indonesian Ministry of Education and Culture for the financial support under BPPS scheme

REFERENCES

- Rachmadiarti, F., Yuliani, W. Budijastuti. 2009. Potency of lemnaceae as bioindicator of Cd in freshwater. *Program and abstract book International Conference on Biology Science*, Faculty of Biology, UGM, Yogyakarta. p. 182
- WHO. 1996. *Trace Elements in Human Nutrition and Health*. World Health Organization (WHO), Geneva.
- Park, S., K. Daesok, K. Youngyun, L. Suk Mo, C. Yonghyun, S. Kijune. 2011. Biosorption and growth inhibition of wetland plants in water contaminated with a mixture of arsenic and heavy metals. *Eng. Life. Sci.* 11, No 1, 84-93.
- Zhang, Z., Fulu Tao, Juan Du, Peijun Shi, Deyong Yu, Yaobin Meng, Yu Sun. 2010. Surface water quality and its control in a river with intensive human impact sea: case study of the Xiangjiang River, China. *Journal of Environmental Management* 91 (2010) 2483-2490.
- Duruibe, J.O., Ogwuebu, M.O.C., and Ekwurugwu, J.N. 2007. Heavy metal pollution and human biotoxic effects. *International Journal of Physical Sciences* Vol. 2 (5). 112-118.
- Ogwuebu, M.O.C., and Muhanga, W. 2005. Investigation of Lead concentration in the blood of people in the copperbelt province of Zambia. *J. Environ.* (1): 66-75.
- Ghosh, M. and S.P. Singh. 2005. A Review on Phytoremediation of Heavy Metals and Utilization of Its by Products As. *J. Energy Env.* 2005, 6(04), 214-231
- Indrayatie, E.R., Utomo, W.H., Handayanto, E. And Anderson, C.W. 2012. The use of Vetiver (*Vetivera zizanioides* L.) for the remediation of wastewater discharged from tapioca factories. *Int. J. of Environ. And Waste Management* (to be published)
- Göthberg, A., M. Greger, H., and B. Bengt-Erik. 2002. Influence of Nutrient levels on uptake and effect of mercury, cadmium, and lead in water spinach. *Environment. Toxicol. chem.* 21:1934-1939.

10. Abhilash, P.B., Pandeya, V.C., Srivastava, P., Rakesh, P.S., Chandran, S., Singha, N., Thomas, A.P. 2009. Phytofiltration of cadmium from water by *Limncharis flava* (L.) Buchenau. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 170: 791–797.
11. Göthberg, A., M. Greger, H., and B. Bengt-Erik. 2004. Influence of nutrient levels on uptake and effect of mercury, cadmium and lead in water spinach. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 33: 1247-1255.
12. Wang, Kai-Sung, Lung-Chiu Huang, Hong-Shen Lee, Pai-Ye Chen, Shih-Hsien Chang. 2007. Phytoextraction of cadmium by *Ipomoea aquatica* (water spinach) in hydroponic solution: *Effects of cadmium speciation*. *Chemosphere* 72 (2008) 666–672.
13. Grill, E., L. Winnacker, and M.H. Zenk. 1985. *Phytochelatins, the principal heavy metal complexing peptides of higher plants*. *Science (Washington, DC)* 230: 674-676.
14. Yoon, J., Cao, X., Zhou, Q., Ma, L.Q., 2006. Accumulation of Pb, Cu, and Zn in native plants growing on a contaminated Florida site. *Sci. Total Environ.* 368, 456–464.

8. Resistance of Yellow Velvetleaf (*Limnocharis flava* (L.) Buch.) Exposed to Lead.pdf

ORIGINALITY REPORT

23%

SIMILARITY INDEX

%

INTERNET SOURCES

23%

PUBLICATIONS

0%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

- 1

P.C. Abhilash, Vimal Chandra Pandey, Pankaj Srivastava, P.S. Rakesh, Smitha Chandran, Nandita Singh, A.P. Thomas. "Phytofiltration of cadmium from water by *Limnocharis flava* (L.) Buchenau grown in free-floating culture system", *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 2009

3%

Publication
- 2

Widowati, W. H. Utomo. "The Effect of Biochar on the Growth and N Fertilizer Requirement of Maize (*Zea mays* L.) in Green House Experiment", *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 03/31/2012

2%

Publication
- 3

Sifau A. Adejumo, Sarita Tiwari, Sanjay Thul, Bijaya Ketan Sarangi. " Evaluation of lead and chromium tolerance and accumulation level in : a novel metal accumulator from lead acid battery waste contaminated site in Nigeria ", *International Journal of Phytoremediation*, 2019

1%

Publication

4

Indrayatie, E.R., W.H. Utomo, E. Handayanto, and C.W.N. Anderson. "The use of vetiver (*Vetiveria zizanioides* L.) for the remediation of wastewater discharged from tapioca factories", *International Journal of Environment and Waste Management*, 2013.

Publication

5

Roychoudhury, Aryadeep, Sreeparna Pradhan, Bodhisatwa Chaudhuri, and Kaushik Das. "Phytoremediation of Toxic Metals and the Involvement of Brassica Species", *Phytotechnologies Remediation of Environmental Contaminants*, 2012.

Publication

6

Massimo Zacchini, Fabrizio Pietrini, Giuseppe Scarascia Mugnozza, Valentina Iori, Lucia Pietrosanti, Angelo Massacci. "Metal Tolerance, Accumulation and Translocation in Poplar and Willow Clones Treated with Cadmium in Hydroponics", *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution*, 2008

Publication

7

Lotfy, S.M., and A.Z. Mostafa. "Phytoremediation of contaminated soil with cobalt and chromium", *Journal of Geochemical Exploration*, 2013.

Publication

1 %

1 %

1 %

1 %

8

Prabha K. Padmavathiamma, Loretta Y. Li.
"Phytoremediation of Metal-Contaminated Soil
in Temperate Humid Regions of British
Columbia, Canada", International Journal of
Phytoremediation, 2009

Publication

1%

9

"Fresh Water Pollution Dynamics and
Remediation", Springer Science and Business
Media LLC, 2020

Publication

1%

10

Mao, Longjiang, Duowen Mo, Jinghong Yang,
Yuanyuan Guo, and Haiyan Lv. "Rare earth
elements geochemistry in surface floodplain
sediments from the Xiangjiang River, middle
reach of Changjiang River, China", Quaternary
International, 2014.

Publication

1%

11

I. C. Ezekwe, A. O. Aisubeogun, G. N. Chima,
E. Odubo. "TDS-Eh graph analysis: a new water
quality index and rural water supply implications
of a river affected by mining in south-eastern
Nigeria", Frontiers of Earth Science, 2012

Publication

1%

12

M. A. Tabatabai, Stanley H. Duke, H. M.
Reisenauer. "Roles and Requirements of Sulfur
in Plant Nutrition", American Society of
Agronomy, 1986

1%

13

Adefemi, Oluyemi Samuel, and Eyitayo Emmanuel Awokunmi. "Uptake of Heavy Metals by Tomato (*Lycopersicum esculentus*) Grown on Soil Collected from Dumpsites in Ekiti State, South West, Nigeria", *International Journal of Chemistry*, 2013.

1%

Publication

14

Eliana Malignani, Ana María Faggi, Laura Isabel de Cabo. "Growth, accumulation and uptake of *Eichhornia crassipes* exposed to high cadmium concentrations", *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 2019

1%

Publication

15

Neelam Verma, Rajni Sharma. "Bioremediation of Toxic Heavy Metals: A Patent Review", *Recent Patents on Biotechnology*, 2017

1%

Publication

16

Przemysław Malec, Maria G. Maleva, M.N.V. Prasad, Kazimierz Strzałka. "Identification and characterization of Cd-induced peptides in *Egeria densa* (water weed): Putative role in Cd detoxification", *Aquatic Toxicology*, 2009

<1%

Publication

17

Srinivasan Malar, Rajendiran Manikandan, Paulo J.C. Favas, Shivendra Vikram Sahi, Perumal Venkatachalam. "Effect of lead on

<1%

phytotoxicity, growth, biochemical alterations and its role on genomic template stability in *Sesbania grandiflora*: A potential plant for phytoremediation", *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 2014

Publication

18

Susan Sadeghi, Gholam Reza Dashti, Mojtaba Shamsipur. "Lead-selective poly(vinyl chloride) membrane electrode based on piroxicam as a neutral carrier", *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 2002

Publication

19

A. M. Sameni. "Effect of Agricultural Sulfur on Characteristics of Different Calcareous Soils from Dry Regions of Iran. I. Disintegration Rate of Agricultural Sulfur and Its Effects on Chemical Properties of the Soils", *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 2004

Publication

20

Soyoung Park. "Biosorption and growth inhibition of wetland plants in water contaminated with a mixture of arsenic and heavy metals", *Engineering in Life Sciences*, 02/2011

Publication

21

Stratford H. Kay, William T. Haller, Leon A. Garrard. "Effects of heavy metals on water

<1 %

<1 %

<1 %

<1 %

hyacinths (*Eichhornia crassipes* (Mart.) Solms)",
Aquatic Toxicology, 1984

Publication

22

Phytoremediation, 2015.

Publication

<1 %

23

Environmental Remediation Technologies for
Metal-Contaminated Soils, 2016.

Publication

<1 %

24

Iman Tahmasbian, Azadeh Nasrazadani, Hojat
Shoja, Ali Akbar Safari Sinegani. "The effects of
human activities and different land-use on trace
element pollution in urban topsoil of Isfahan
(Iran)", Environmental Earth Sciences, 2013

Publication

<1 %

25

Kai-Sung Wang, Lung-Chiu Huang, Hong-Shen
Lee, Pai-Ye Chen, Shih-Hsien Chang.
"Phytoextraction of cadmium by *Ipomoea*
aquatica (water spinach) in hydroponic solution:
Effects of cadmium speciation", Chemosphere,
2008

Publication

<1 %

26

Hawkesford, M.J.. "Strategies for increasing the
selenium content of wheat", Journal of Cereal
Science, 200711

Publication

<1 %

27

Zhenhua Zhang. "Cadmium Accumulation and
Translocation in Four Emergent Wetland

<1 %

28

Rohman, Abdul, Ardi Nugroho, Endang Lukitaningsih, and Sudjadi. "Application of Vibrational Spectroscopy in Combination with Chemometrics Techniques for Authentication of Herbal Medicine", Applied Spectroscopy Reviews, 2014.

Publication

29

Sadhna Rai, M. S. Narayanswami, S. H. Hasan, D. C. Rupainwr, Yogesh C. Sharma. "Removal of cadmium from wastewater by water hyacinth", International Journal of Environmental Studies, 1994

Publication

30

Qiuzhuo Zhang, Varenym Achal, Yatong Xu, Wei-Ning Xiang. "Aquaculture wastewater quality improvement by water spinach (Ipomoea aquatica Forsskal) floating bed and ecological benefit assessment in ecological agriculture district", Aquacultural Engineering, 2014

Publication

31

M Cocchi. "Multicomponent analysis of electrochemical signals in the wavelet domain", Talanta, 2003

Publication

<1 %

<1 %

<1 %

<1 %

32 Tomáš Veselý, Pavel Tlustoš, Jiřina Száková. "The Use of Water Lettuce (L.) for Rhizofiltration of a Highly Polluted Solution by Cadmium and Lead ", International Journal of Phytoremediation, 2011

Publication

<1 %

33 Kirkham, M.B.. "Cadmium in plants on polluted soils: Effects of soil factors, hyperaccumulation, and amendments", Geoderma, 20061231

Publication

<1 %

34 T. Luongo, L.Q. Ma. "Characteristics of Arsenic Accumulation by Pteris and non-Pteris Ferns", Plant and Soil, 2005

Publication

<1 %

35 Asheesh Shrivastava, Kiichi Shirakawa, Hiroki Takahashi, Masahito Sugiyama, Toshitaka Hori. "Classification of Southern Basin shore water by multivariate statistical techniques of Lake Biwa, Japan", Sustainable Water Resources Management, 2017

Publication

<1 %

36 Sehgal, >H. S., Meenakshi Shahi, G. K. Sehgal, and S. S. Thind. "Some quality aspects of fish patties prepared from an Indian major carp, Labeo rohita (Ham.)", International Journal of Food Sciences and Nutrition, 2008.

Publication

<1 %

37

Amit Kumar Yadav, Bhawana Pathak, M. H. Fulekar. "Rhizofiltration of Heavy Metals (Cadmium, Lead and Zinc) From Fly Ash Leachates Using Water Hyacinth (Eichhornia Crassipes)", International Journal of Environment, 2015

Publication

<1 %

38

"IOF Regionals ANZBMS Annual Scientific Meeting, held with the JSBMR 2nd Asia-Pacific Osteoporosis and Bone Meeting", Osteoporosis International, 2011

Publication

<1 %

39

Rios, Jonas Alberto, Fabrício Ávila Rodrigues, Daniel Debona, Renata Sousa Resende, Wiler Ribas Moreira, and Camila Cristina Lage Andrade. "Induction of resistance to *Pyricularia oryzae* in wheat by acibenzolar-S-methyl, ethylene and jasmonic acid", Tropical Plant Pathology, 2014.

Publication

<1 %

40

Hasanuzzaman, Mirza, and Masayuki Fujita. "Heavy Metals in the Environment : Current Status, Toxic Effects on Plants and Phytoremediation", Phytotechnologies Remediation of Environmental Contaminants, 2012.

Publication

<1 %

Exclude quotes Off

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography Off

8.Resistance of Yellow Velvetleaf (Limnocharis flava (L.) Buch.) Exposed to Lead.pdf

GRADEMARK REPORT

FINAL GRADE

/0

GENERAL COMMENTS

Instructor

PAGE 1

PAGE 2

PAGE 3

PAGE 4

PAGE 5

PAGE 6